

FRÉCHET COMPLETIONS OF MODERATE GROWTH OLD AND (SOMEWHAT) NEW RESULTS

NOLAN R. WALLACH

ABSTRACT. This article has two objectives. The first is to give a guide to the proof of the (so-called) Casselman-Wallach theorem as it appears in Real Reductive Groups II. The emphasis will be on one aspect of the original proof that leads to the new result in this paper which is the second objective. We show how a theorem of van der Noort combined with a clarification of the original argument in my book lead to a theorem with parameters (an alternative is one announced by Bernstein and Krötz). This result gives a new proof of the meromorphic continuation of the smooth Eisenstein series.

Dedicated to David Vogan in honor of his 60th birthday. His deep contributions to the algebraic aspects of representation theory helped me to realize that I am an analyst.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In the past three years there has been new activity related to what has come to be called the Casselman-Wallach Theorem (CW Theorem) notably in the thesis of Vincent van der Noort [vdN] and the paper of Bernstein and Krötz [BK]. These works are concerned with the problem of inserting dependence on parameters in our theory of Fréchet completions of moderate growth. In [vdN] there is a development of the theory of admissible $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules depending on parameters with a goal of finding criteria that imply that these families are imbeddable in families of smooth Fréchet completions. In [BK] another stated goal is to give a more elementary proof of the CW Theorem. The complications in “the” original proof in part, stem from the fact that there is no joint proof by Casselman and me of the theorem. Casselman’s proof (appearing in [C]) and the proof of [BK] apply only to linear groups. My version, which can only be found in my second volume on real reductive groups ([RRGII]), proves the theorem in the context of the class of reductive groups that appear in my book with Borel ([BW]). It had been my hope to include in this paper a proof of the theorem for general semi-simple groups with a finite number of connected components (i.e. allow infinite center for the identity component) but time constraints

made it impossible for me to complete the foundational work necessary. The version in this paper implies the theorem for the case of connected semi-simple Lie groups with finite center (e.g. the metaplectic group or the metlinear group). Except for the greater generality of my version the proof in [C] and that in [RRGII] are essentially the same (and is basically the same as that of [BK], except for the handling of spherical representations). In hindsight I must admit that Casselman’s article is more carefully written than my development (however, his version also has typos). Beyond its obvious defects in exposition my argument can be deemed complicated or at least “non-elementary” because it relies on results that are necessary in other contexts in the study of real reductive groups. These results include, the asymptotic expansions of generalized matrix coefficients of admissible representations, the theory of intertwining operators developed by Vogan and me ([VW]) and the Langlands quotient theorem. In each case the results are easily stated but their use in a proof can certainly allow one to say that a proof that doesn’t use these results is more elementary (however [BK] uses the Langlands quotient theorem). It is definitely not true that more elementary implies easier to understand (compare Hadamard’s proof of the prime number theorem to Selberg’s “elementary proof”).

This paper is, for the most part, an attempt to explain my proof of the theorem with some simplifications and (we hope) clarifications. It emphasizes the places where it differs from that in [C] which can be seen most clearly in the construction of the minimal completion. This result is given in here detail with several simplifications. Also in the appendix to the construction, there are a few results on C^∞ vectors that I couldn’t find in the literature. The last section of this paper will show that the beautiful theory of van der Noort combined with an extended version of the argument in subsection 4.3 allows us to add dependence on parameters. In particular we show that the C^∞ Eisenstein series has a meromorphic continuation from the tube in which it is initially defined. Another reason for an extended explanation of a 20 year old result is that the unique reference for the general result is in a book that is out of print.

2. A LITANY OF NOTATION

We purpose of this section is to set up the standard notation. Let $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a reductive algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{R}$ and let $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ be its group of real points. Then a real reductive group in the sense of [BW] is a finite covering group of an open subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. This can be described in elementary terms (as in [RRGI]) as follows: Let $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a subgroup of

$GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ that is the locus of zeros of polynomial functions on $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and is invariant under matrix transpose. Then G is a finite covering of an open subgroup of $G_o \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$ (for a proof that these notions are the same see e.g. [W]).

It is convenient to assume that G is given by the more elementary definition. We make that assumption. So $K_{\mathbb{R}} = G_{\mathbb{R}} \cap O(n)$ is a maximal compact subgroup. If $p : G \rightarrow G_o$ is the covering homomorphism ($G_o \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$ open) and if $K_o = K_{\mathbb{R}} \cap G_o$ then $K = p^{-1}(K_{\mathbb{R}} \cap G_o)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G . We also fix an Iwasawa decomposition $G_{\mathbb{R}} = K_{\mathbb{R}} A_{\mathbb{R}} N_{\mathbb{R}}$ ($A_{\mathbb{R}}$ a maximal abelian subgroup consisting of positive definite self adjoint elements and $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ a maximal unipotent subgroup) so $G_o = K_o A_{\mathbb{R}} N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an Iwasawa decomposition of G_o and if A and N are the identity components of $p^{-1}(A_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $p^{-1}(N_{\mathbb{R}})$ respectively then KAN is an Iwasawa decomposition of G . Let M denote the centralizer of A in K then MAN is a minimal parabolic subgroup of G . As usual, we will call a parabolic subgroup of G containing MAN a standard parabolic subgroup.

We will write $\|g\|$ for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of

$$r(g) = \begin{bmatrix} p(g) & 0 \\ 0 & p(g^{-1})^T \end{bmatrix} \in GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$$

for $g \in G$. Then $\|\dots\|$ is a right and left K -invariant norm on G (in the sense of [RRGI]). Recall that a norm is a function on G , $g \mapsto \|g\|$, such that if $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ is compact then $\{g \in G \mid \|g\| \in S\}$ is compact and $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$, $x, y \in G$. We also recall that if $\|\dots\|$ is a norm on G and if (π, H) is a strongly continuous Banach representation of G then there exist constants C and r such that $\|g\|_H \leq C \|g\|^r$ (see [RRGI] 2.A.2.2)

We use the standard notation $\mathfrak{g}$ for the complexified Lie algebra of G (thought of as left invariant complex vector fields on G), $U(\mathfrak{g})$ for the universal enveloping algebra (left invariant differential operators) and $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ for the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ (thought of as bi-invariant differential operators). We define the form $B(X, Y) = \text{tr} XY$ on $\text{Lie}(G) = \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$. Then B is non-degenerate and negative definite on $\text{Lie}(K)$. We set C (resp. C_K) equal to the Casimir operator on G (resp. K) corresponding to B .

As usual, a $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module is a module V for $\mathfrak{g}$ that is also a K module with the following compatibility properties

1. Set $W_v = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(Kv)$ then $\dim W_v < \infty$ the action of K on W_v is smooth and the action of $\text{Lie}(K) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ on W_v is the same as its action as a real subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.
2. if $k \in K, X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $v \in V$ then $kXv = (\text{Ad}(k)X)kv$.

We say that a $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module is finitely generated if it is finitely generated as a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

We denote by $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ the category of $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules that are admissible (if W is a finite dimensional K -module then $\dim Hom_K(W, V) < \infty$ with morphisms the $\mathfrak{g}$ and K module homomorphisms) and finitely generated.

If V is a $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module and if γ is an equivalence class of irreducible representations of K (that is, an element of $\hat{K}$) then we chose a representative, V_γ , for this class and denote by $V(\gamma) = \sum TV_\gamma$ the sum over all $T \in Hom_K(V_\gamma, V)$. That is, $V(\gamma)$ is the γ -isotypic component of V .

A smooth Fréchet module, (π, V) for G is a homomorphism, π , of G to the continuous invertible operators on a Fréchet space V such that the map

$$G \times V \rightarrow V$$

given by

$$g, v \mapsto \pi(g)v$$

is of class C^∞ in G and jointly continuous. If we have a smooth Fréchet module (π, V) then we can differentiate to get a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure on V . We use the notation V_K for the space of all $v \in V$ such that $\dim Span_{\mathbb{C}}(\pi(K)v) < \infty$. Then V_K is a $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ module. If V_K is admissible or finitely generated then we say that V is admissible or finitely generated.

If V is a smooth Fréchet module then we say that V is of moderate growth if for every λ , a continuous seminorm on V , there exists μ a continuous semi-norm on V and r real such that

$$\lambda(\pi(g)v) \leq \|g\|^r \mu(v), g \in G, v \in V.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ the category of all smooth admissible finitely generated Fréchet G -modules of moderate growth with morphisms continuous intertwiners with images that are direct summands (in the category of Fréchet spaces).

We now recall the definition of a parabolically induced representation. We will give details since we will be using the construction in the last section of this article. In the first sections we will only need the concept for P and for induction from finite dimensional representations. Let Q be a standard parabolic subgroup of G and let (σ, W) be a Hilbert representation of Q on the Hilbert space $(W, (...))$ that is unitary as a representation of $K \cap Q$. We define $Ind_{Q \cap K}^K(W)$ to be the space of functions $f : K \rightarrow W$ such that $f(mk) = \sigma(m)f(k), m \in K \cap Q, k \in K$

and such that $k \mapsto \|f(k)\|$ is in $L^2(K)$. We set for $f, h \in \text{Ind}_{K \cap Q}^K(W)$

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \int_K (f(k), h(k)) dk.$$

thus yielding a Hilbert space structure on $\text{Ind}_{K \cap Q}^K(W)$. We define $\pi(k)$ for $k \in K$ to be the right regular action that is $\pi(k)f(x) = f(xk)$, $x, k \in K$ this yields a unitary representation of K . If $f \in \text{Ind}_{K \cap Q}^K(W)$ and $q, q' \in Q, k, k' \in K$ then it is easily seen that if $qk = q'k'$ then $\sigma(q)f(k) = \sigma(q')f(k')$. Since $G = QK$ we can define $f_\sigma(g) = \sigma(q)f(k)$ for $qk = g$ and $q \in Q$ and $k \in K$. We define the Hilbert representation $\text{Ind}_{Q \cap K}^G(\sigma)$ to have underlying Hilbert space $\text{Ind}_{K \cap Q}^K(W)$ and the action of G given by $(\pi_\sigma(g)f)(x) = f_\sigma(xg)$ for $g \in G$ and $x \in K$. This defines a Hilbert representation of G , $\text{Ind}_Q^G(\sigma)$. If the representation, W , of Q is finite dimensional then the space of C^∞ vectors of $\text{Ind}_Q^G(\sigma)$ with respect to G are the C^∞ functions in $\text{Ind}_{K \cap Q}^K(W)$ with the topology defined by the seminorms, $p_l(f) = \|(I + C_K)^l f\|$. Let $Q = M_Q A_Q N_Q$ be a standard Langlands decomposition of Q (c.f. [RRGI]). If (σ, W) is an admissible representation of $M_Q, \nu \in (\text{Lie}(A_Q))^*_\mathbb{C}$ then we set $\sigma_\nu(man) = a^{\nu + \rho_Q} \sigma(m)$ for $m \in M_Q, a \in A_Q, n \in N_Q$ here $a^\mu = e^{\mu(\log(a))}$ and $\rho_Q(h) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(adh)|_{\text{Lie}(N_Q)}$. We will use the notation $I_{Q, \sigma, \nu}$ for $\text{Ind}_Q^G(\sigma_\nu)$, $I_{Q, \sigma, \nu}^\infty$ for $\text{Ind}_Q^G(\sigma_\nu)^\infty$ and $V_{Q, \sigma, \nu}$ for $\text{Ind}_Q^G(\sigma_\nu)_K^\infty$.

If V is a topological vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ then V' denotes the continuous elements of V^* , the full dual space of V .

3. THE THEOREM AND SOME APPLICATIONS

The theorem referenced as the Casselman–Wallach theorem is

Theorem 1. *The functor $V \rightarrow V_K$ from $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ to $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ is an equivalence of categories.*

In this section we will give some implications. We will sketch the proof in [RRGII] in the next section. First, since there is an inverse functor we see that if V is an admissible finitely generated $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ module then there exists a smooth Fréchet module of moderate growth, W , such that W_K is isomorphic with V . This existence is a direct implication of Casselman's theorem since Banach representations have moderate growth and Casselman has shown that there is a Hilbert representation of G (π, H) such that the smooth K -finite vectors of H yield a $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module isomorphic with V . However, our theorem says something much stronger: W is *unique* up to isomorphism in the category $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$.

The above says that if $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ and (π, H) is a Banach representation of G such that $(H^\infty)_K$ is isomorphic with V then although there are many different representations in the Banach category with this property there is only one object up to isomorphism in $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ whose K -finite vectors yield a module isomorphic with V .

The most important implication is just the expansion of what an equivalence of categories means for the two categories in the theorem. Let V, W be admissible finitely generated $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ modules and let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a morphism. Let $\overline{V}$ and $\overline{W}$ be any elements of $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ such that $\overline{V}_K$ and $\overline{W}_K$ are respectively isomorphic with V and W then the induced map $L : \overline{V}_K \rightarrow \overline{W}_K$ extends to a continuous G intertwining operator from $\overline{V}$ to $\overline{W}$ with closed image that is a direct summand of $\overline{W}$. Thus the theorem turns analysis into algebra. We will now give several applications. We denote by $\overline{V}$ an element of $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ with $\overline{V}_K = V$. We will call $\overline{V}$ a completion of V in $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ and note that it is unique up to isomorphism.

3.1. Continuous functionals and automorphic forms. In this subsection we give an application to automorphic forms. We first describe an implication of the proof in [RRGII] which characterizes $\overline{V}'|_V$ for $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$.

Define $\mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ to be the space of all C^∞ functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following three conditions

1. There exists $d > 0$ and for each $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $C_x > 0$ such that $|xf(g)| \leq C_x \|g\|^d$.
2. $\dim Z(\mathfrak{g})f < \infty$.
3. f is right K -finite.

Theorem 2. *Let $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ and $\lambda \in V^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$ and let $\overline{V}$ be its completion in $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ then λ extends to a continuous functional on $\overline{V}$ if and only if for each $v \in V$ there exists $f_{\lambda, v} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ such that $xf_{\lambda, v}(k) = \lambda(kxv)$ for all $k \in K$ and $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})$.*

We will come back to this theorem in the next section.

We recall that $f \in C^\infty(G)$ is an automorphic form on G with respect to a discrete subgroup of finite covolume, Γ , if $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ and $f(\gamma g) = f(g)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We denote the space of automorphic forms on $\Gamma \backslash G$ by $\mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(\Gamma \backslash G)$.

We now apply the theorem to automorphic forms. Let for $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ the space W be the span of the right translates of f under K and let $V = U(\mathfrak{g})W$. Then $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$. Let $\delta(h) = h(e)$ (e the identity element of G). We note that if $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $k \in K$ then

$\delta(kxf) = xf(k)$. Theorem implies 2 that δ extends to $\overline{V}$. This proves that $f(g) = \lambda(gv)$ for $v \in V$ and

$$\lambda \in (\overline{V}')^\Gamma = \{\mu \in \overline{V}' \mid \mu \circ \gamma = \mu, \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

For example, this implies that the analytically continued Eisenstein series initially shown to exist for K -finite elements of an induced representations from cuspidal parabolic subgroups extend to the C^∞ vectors of these representations. At the end of the paper we will look at the implications to the meromorphic continuation of C^∞ -Eisenstein series.

3.2. C^∞ Helgason conjecture and related results. Let G be connected with compact center and let $P = MAN$ be a standard minimal parabolic subgroup with given Langlands decomposition. Here $M \subset K$ is compact, A is the identity component of a maximal split torus over $\mathbb{R}$ and N is the unipotent radical. We say that an irreducible representation of K , (τ, V_τ) , is small if $\tau|_M$ is irreducible. Clearly a one dimensional representation is small (e.g. the trivial representation). There are more interesting representations that are small. For example the spin representation of $Spin(2n+1)$ (thought of as K for the two-fold cover of $SL(2n+1, \mathbb{R})$) or either half spin representation of $Spin(2n)$ (thought of as K for the two fold cover of $SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$).

We first describe a general Poisson “integral representation” for elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(G)$. If (σ, H) is a finite dimensional representation of P we set $I_{P,\sigma}^\infty$ equal to the representation C^∞ -induced of G from σ on P . That is the Fréchet space of C^∞ vectors of $Ind_P^G(\sigma)$ as in section 2.

Theorem 3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ then there exists a finite dimensional representation, σ , of P , a continuous linear functional $\lambda \in (I_{P,\sigma}^\infty)'$ and $h \in (I_{P,\sigma}^\infty)_K$ such that $f(g) = \lambda(\pi_{P,\sigma}(g)h) = f(g)$ for all $g \in G$.*

For a proof see [RRGII, Theorem 11.9.2]

Now suppose that (τ, H_τ) is a small K -type. Let $\sigma = \tau|_M$. Let $I_{P,\sigma,\nu}^\infty$ and $V_{P,\sigma,\nu}$ be as in Section 2. Then Frobenius reciprocity implies that $\dim Hom_K(H_\tau, I_{P,\sigma,\nu}^\infty) = 1$. This implies there is a homomorphism $\gamma_{\tau,\nu} : U(\mathfrak{g})^K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\pi_{P,\sigma,\nu}(x)f = \gamma_{\tau,\nu}(x)f$ for all f in the τ isotypic component of $I_{P,\sigma,\nu}^\infty$ and all $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K$. One checks easily that $\gamma_{\tau,\nu}(x)$ is polynomial in ν . Furthermore since $\sigma = \tau|_M$ we see that if $k \in N_K(M)$ (normalizer of M in K) the representation $\sigma^k(m) = \sigma(k^{-1}mk) = \tau(k)^{-1}\sigma(m)\tau(k)$ this implies that $\gamma_{\tau,\nu} = \gamma_{\tau,s\nu}$ for $s \in W(A) = N_K(A)/M$. The analogue in this context of the C^∞ -Helgason conjecture is

Theorem 4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(G)(\tau)$ be such that $xf = \gamma_{\tau,\nu}(x)f$ for $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ then if $V_{P,\sigma,\nu} = U(\mathfrak{g})V_{P,\sigma,\nu}(\tau)$ there exists $\lambda \in (I_{P,\sigma,\nu}^\infty)'$ and $u \in I_{P,\sigma,\nu}(\tau)$ such that $f(g) = \lambda(\pi_{P,\sigma,\nu}(g)u)$ for $g \in G$.*

We note that if τ is the trivial representation then Kostant [K] has shown that the condition of the theorem is satisfied for $\text{Re } \nu$ in the closed positive Weyl chamber. So Theorem 4 implies the distribution version of the Helgason Conjecture due to [OS]. We note that using the fact that the analytic vectors of $I_{P,\sigma,\nu}^\infty$ are the real analytic elements of $I_{P,\sigma,\nu}$ we see that the distributional version of the conjecture implies the hyperfunction version that is the same assertion (the original Helgason conjecture) but with $f \in C^\infty(G)$ satisfying only the K -condition and $xf = \gamma_{\tau,\nu}(x)f$ for $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ and λ in the continuous dual of the analytically induced representation.

In his work on split groups over $\mathbb{R}$, Seung Lee [Le] has shown that if τ is small, $\dim V_\tau > 1$ and G is split over $\mathbb{R}$ and simply laced then the analogue of Kostant's result is true and so the above theorem completely describes $\mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(G)(\tau)$. In the non-simply laced case there are some exceptions see [Le] for a complete discussion.

4. THE MAIN ASPECTS OF OUR PROOF

We divide the proof into the construction of a left exact functor (maximal globalization) and a right exact functor (minimal globalization) from $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ to $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ and then prove that the two functors are equivalent. The theorems involved in the proof of the left exact functor will only be sketched. This is the most complicated part of our proof. It is the argument proving the existence of the right exact functor that will be given in detail since it plays a role in the extension of the theorem in the last section of this paper and also since the original version in [RRGII] was rather convoluted and had some misprints in unfortunate places.

4.1. Step one: A left exact functor. The first step is to construct what will be an inverse functor to the K -finite functor. Let $P = MAN$ be a minimal parabolic subgroup of G (as in section 2). Let $\mathfrak{n} = \text{Lie}(N)$ and let $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$. We first sketch the proof of

Theorem 5. *Let $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$. Then there exists an object $\bar{V} \in \mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ such that*

1. $\bar{V}_K$ is isomorphic with V .
2. If $W \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ and $T : W \rightarrow V$ is a $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -homomorphism and if $X \in \mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ is such that X_K is isomorphic with W then

there exists a $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ -morphism $S : X \rightarrow \overline{V}$ in such that $S(X_K) = T(W)$.

Using standard methods, one can reduce the proof to the groups G that are connected and simple with finite center. It is for these groups we will sketch the proof. We consider the simply connected (complex) Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the connected subgroup, $G_{\mathbb{R}}$, corresponding to $\text{Lie}(G)$. Then we may assume that G is a finite covering group of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let Z denote that kernel of the covering homomorphism. By our assumption Z is a finite abelian group. The first step in the argument is to prove (see [RRGII, 11.8.2])

Theorem 6. *If $\chi \in \widehat{Z}$ then there exists τ an irreducible representation of K such that $\tau|_M$ is irreducible and $\tau|_Z = \chi I$.*

A complete classification of representations of K whose restriction to M is irreducible was given in Seung Lee's thesis [Le]. If (σ, V) is a finite dimensional representation of P then we denote by $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)^\infty$ the smoothly induced representation from P to G that is, the C^∞ -vectors of $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$ (defined in Section 2) and by $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)_K^\infty$ the K -finite induced representation of P to G . If μ is a finite dimensional unitary representation of M and if $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ then $I_{P, \mu, \nu}^\infty$ and $V_{P, \sigma, \nu}$ are as in section 2.

An application of the above theorem is (see [RRGII], Lemma 11.4.5).

Lemma 7. *Let (σ, V) be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of P such that $\sigma|_Z = \chi I$. Let τ be a small representation of K with $\tau(z) = \chi(z)I$ and set $\mu = \tau|_M$. Then there exist finite dimensional G -representations $F_1, \dots, F_r$ and $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ such that $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)_K$ is equivalent to a quotient of $\oplus V_{P, \mu, \nu_i} \otimes F_i$.*

Using Casselman's imbedding theorem and the Artin-Rees Lemma we see that if $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ then $\dim V/\mathfrak{n}^k V < \infty$ and $\cap_k \mathfrak{n}^k V = \{0\}$. We note that we have natural morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T_k & \\ V & \rightarrow & \text{Ind}_P^G(V/\mathfrak{n}^k V)_K^\infty \end{array}$$

and an that there exists k_o such that T_k is injective for all $k \geq k_o$. We will take k_o to be the minimal choice. Using this and the theory of intertwining operators we prove: If $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ and if W is any element of $\mathcal{F}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ such that W_K is isomorphic with V then the map T_k extends to a homomorphism of W into $\text{Ind}_P^K(V/\mathfrak{n}^k V)^\infty$. Using this we take $\overline{V}$ to be the closure of $T_{k_o}(V)$ in $\text{Ind}_P^K(V/\mathfrak{n}^k V)^\infty$. We then use the theory of asymptotic expansions of elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ restricted to MA , the above lemma and the result in [VW] to prove what we

call the automatic continuity theorem [RRGII, Theorem 11.4.1]. This result is complicated and we will content ourself to just giving the reference to it and the following implication.

Theorem 8. *Let $V \in \mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$. If F is a finite dimensional representation of P and if $T : V_K \rightarrow \text{Ind}_P^G(F)_K^\infty$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ then T extends to a morphism $V \rightarrow \text{Ind}_P^G(F)^\infty$.*

This result implies

Corollary 9. *If $V, W \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ and if $T : W \rightarrow V$ is an injective morphism then the closure of W in $\overline{V}$ is isomorphic with $\overline{W}$ in $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$.*

This easily implies 2. in Theorem 5

This completes the sketch of the existence of the maximal completion in $\mathcal{F}_{\text{mod}}(G)$.

We note that for any $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$, there exists a Hilbert completion of $\overline{V}$, H such that the K - C^∞ vectors of H are the same as the G - C^∞ vectors and $H^\infty = \overline{V}$. This implies that $V \rightarrow \overline{V}$ defines a left exact faithful functor from $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ to $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$.

4.2. Step two: A right exact functor. The next step is the dual assertion: the existence of a minimal completion.

Theorem 10. *Let $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ then there exists an object $\overline{\overline{V}} \in \mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ such that $\overline{\overline{V}}_K$ is isomorphic with V and if $W \in \mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ and $T : W_K \rightarrow \overline{\overline{V}}_K$ is a surjective morphism then T extends to continuous surjection of W onto $\overline{\overline{V}}$.*

We note that there is up to isomorphism only one object in $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ with the property above enjoyed by $\overline{\overline{V}}$. Also $V \rightarrow \overline{\overline{V}}$ is a right exact functor from $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ to the category $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$. The CW Theorem will therefore be proved if we can show that $\overline{V}$ and $\overline{\overline{V}}$ are isomorphic in $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$. If this condition is satisfied for V then we say that V is good (obviously, we want to prove that all admissible finitely generated $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ modules are good). We now devote a subsection to the proof of this theorem.

4.3. The proof of Theorem 10. If $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ we denote by $\widehat{V}$ its conjugate dual module. That is, $\widehat{V} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ consists of all of the real linear functionals, λ , on V satisfying

1. $\lambda(zv) = \bar{z}\lambda(v)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
2. Set $k\lambda = \lambda \circ k^{-1}$ then $\dim \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} K\lambda < \infty$.

The action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\widehat{V}$ is given by $X\lambda = -\lambda \circ X$ for $\lambda \in \widehat{V}$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

$\widehat{V}$ is clearly a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. If (π, H) is a Hilbert representation of G then we define the conjugate dual representation to (π, H) to be $(\widehat{\pi}, H)$ with $\widehat{\pi}(g) = \pi(g^{-1})^*$. Obviously, if π is unitary $\widehat{\pi} = \pi$. If (π, H) is a Hilbert representation of G then we denote by (π, H^∞) the G - C^∞ vectors and by (π, H^{∞_K}) the K - C^∞ vectors. We denote the C^∞ -vectors of G relative to $\widehat{\pi}$ by $\widehat{H}^\infty$.

We first relate Theorem 2 to Theorem 10. We note that if $V \in \mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ and if $\lambda \in V'$ and $v \in V_K$ then $f(g) = \lambda(gv)$ defines an element of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(G)$. If $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ then we denote by V_{mod}^* the space of all $\lambda \in V^*$ such that for each $v \in V$ there exists $f_{\lambda, v} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ such that $\lambda(kxv) = xf_{\lambda, v}(k)$ for $k \in K$ and $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ (i.e. λ satisfies the condition in Theorem 2). Thus V_{mod}^* contains Z'_V for any object of $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ such that $Z_K = V$. We start our argument with

Lemma 11. *Let $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ then there exists a Hilbert representation of G , (π, H) , such that H_K^∞ is isomorphic with V , $(\pi, H^\infty) = (\pi, H^{\infty_K})$, $(\widehat{\pi}, \widehat{H}^\infty) = (\widehat{\pi}, \widehat{H}^{\infty_K})$ and $(\widehat{\pi}, \widehat{H}^\infty)$ is isomorphic with $\widehat{(\widehat{V})}$.*

Note that we may assume that $\pi|_K$ is unitary thus $H^{\infty_K} = \widehat{H}^{\infty_K}$.

Proof. We note that according to the discussion at the end of subsection 4.1 (and in the notation thereof) $\widehat{(\widehat{V})}$ is isomorphic with the space of C^∞ vectors in the closure of $T_k(\widehat{V})$ in the induced Hilbert representation $\text{Ind}_P^G(\widehat{V}/\mathfrak{n}^k\widehat{V})$ for an appropriate k . The C^∞ vectors in this representation are the K - C^∞ vectors (this is true for any parabolically induced representation from a finite dimensional Hilbert representation). We note that taking C^∞ vectors defines an exact functor from the category of Hilbert representations to the category of smooth Fréchet representations. Thus the C^∞ -vectors in the closure of $T_k(\widehat{V})$ in $\text{Ind}_P^G(\widehat{V}/\mathfrak{n}^k\widehat{V})$ are the K - C^∞ vectors. We take H to be the closure of $T_k(\widehat{V})$. We now observe that the conjugate dual representation of $\text{Ind}_P^G(\widehat{V}/\mathfrak{n}^k\widehat{V})$ is the induced representation $\text{Ind}_P^G(\widehat{(\widehat{V}/\mathfrak{n}^k\widehat{V})} \otimes \delta_P)$ with δ_P the modular function of P and with underlying Hilbert space $\text{Ind}_M^K(\widehat{V}/\mathfrak{n}^k\widehat{V})$ and the G - C^∞ vectors are the same as the K - C^∞ . Let $\langle \dots | \dots \rangle$ denote the conjugate linear G -invariant pairing between $\text{Ind}_P^G(\widehat{V}/\mathfrak{n}^k\widehat{V})$ and $\text{Ind}_P^G(\widehat{(\widehat{V}/\mathfrak{n}^k\widehat{V})} \otimes \delta_P)$ and set $Z = H^\perp$ relative to $\langle \dots | \dots \rangle$. Then as a Hilbert space the conjugate dual of H is $\text{Ind}_P^G(\widehat{(\widehat{V}/\mathfrak{n}^k\widehat{V})} \otimes \delta_P)/Z$. If (π, H) is the corresponding Hilbert representation of G then the

underlying object of $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ is isomorphic with V . The assertions of the lemma are now clear. $\square$

The crux of the matter is the following theorem which will be proved after we give two implications.

Theorem 12. *Let $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ and let (π, H) be a Hilbert representation such that V is isomorphic with H_K^∞ . Assume that $(\hat{\pi}, \hat{H}^\infty)$ is as an object in $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ isomorphic with $\overline{(\hat{V})}$ then denoting (π, H^∞) by Z we have $Z|_V = V_{\text{mod}}^*$ (see the definition preceding Lemma 11).*

Corollary 13. *If $X, Y \in \mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ are such that X_K is isomorphic with Y_K and $X'|_{X_K} = (X_K)_{\text{mod}}^*$, $Y'|_{X_K} = (Y_K)_{\text{mod}}^*$ then X is isomorphic with Y in $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$.*

Proof. We will use a theorem of Banach which we now recall. Assume that Z and W are Fréchet spaces and let $u : Z \rightarrow W$ be a continuous linear map. Set $u^T(\lambda) = \lambda \circ u$ for $\lambda \in W'$ so $u^T : W' \rightarrow Z'$. Then the theorem says that u is surjective if u^T is injective and the image of u^T is weakly closed in Z' (see Treves [T, 3.7.2]). We will identify X_K and Y_K and call them both V . Theorem 5 implies that the identity map $V \rightarrow V$ induces continuous morphisms $A : X \rightarrow \overline{V}$ and $B : Y \rightarrow \overline{V}$. Let $Z = A(X) \cap B(Y)$ with topology given by the seminorms for both X and Y . Then Z is complete, G -invariant and hence an element of $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ and $Z_K = V$. There are two morphisms $\alpha : Z \rightarrow X$ and $\beta : Z \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$. Now $Z'|_V \supset X'|_V = Y'|_V = V_{\text{mod}}^* \supset Z'|_V$ thus α^T and β^T are bijective. Hence, α and β are surjective by Banach's theorem. This implies $X = Y$ (under our identification of K -finite vectors). $\square$

We note that Lemma 11 combined with the above theorem implies that if $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ then there is up to isomorphism exactly one $Z \in \mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ with Z_K isomorphic with V and $Z'|_{Z_K} = (Z_K)_{\text{mod}}^*$. We will choose one such Z and denote it $\overline{\overline{V}}$. Then $V \rightarrow \overline{\overline{V}}$ defines a functor from $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ to $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$. We will show that it has the property described in Theorem 10 after we prove Theorem 12.

The idea of the proof of Theorem 12 is to show that if $\lambda \in (H_K)_{\text{mod}}^*$ then there exists a Hilbert representation of G , (π_1, H_1) such that $(H_1)_K = \hat{V}$, so $(\hat{\pi}_1, H_1)_K$ is isomorphic with V , under the isomorphism T , and there exists an element $u \in H_1$ so that $\langle Tv, u \rangle = \lambda(v)$ for v in V here $\langle \dots, \dots \rangle$ is the inner product on H_1 . In other words, there is a Hilbert completion of V so that λ is an element of the conjugate

dual Hilbert representation. We will give a complete proof since we will use the technique in last section. We write $(\dots, \dots)$ for the inner product on H which we assume is K -invariant. If $\mu \in V_{\text{mod}}^*$, $v \in V$ we will use the notation $f_{\mu, v}$ for the element f of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ such that $xf(k) = \mu(kxv)$ for $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $k \in K$.

Let $v_1, \dots, v_n$ be an orthonormal basis of a K and $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant subspace of W in V such that $V = U(\mathfrak{g})W$. Fix $\lambda \in (H_K)_{\text{mod}}^*$. Let d and C be such that for every $g \in G$ we have

1. $\|\widehat{\pi}(g)\| \leq C \|g\|^d$ and
2. $|f_{\lambda, v_i}(g)| \leq C \|g\|^d$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

We also choose d_o such that

$$\int_G \|g\|^{-d_o} dg < \infty.$$

If $v, w \in H$ then we define a new inner product

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_G (v_i, \widehat{\pi}(g)w)(\widehat{\pi}(g)v, v_i) \|g\|^{-2d-d_o} dg.$$

We note that since

$$|(v_i, \widehat{\pi}(g)v)(\widehat{\pi}(g)w, v_i)| \leq \|v_i\|^2 \|v\| \|w\| \|\widehat{\pi}(g)\|^2 \leq C^2 \|v_i\|^2 \|v\| \|w\| \|g\|^{2d}$$

the above integral converges for all $v, w \in H$ and defines a new inner product on H that is K -invariant (since $\|gk\| = \|g\|$ for $g \in G$ and $k \in K$). Furthermore, if we set $\|v\|_1^2 = \langle v, v \rangle$ for $v \in H$ then

$$\|v\|_1 \leq C_1 \|v\| \quad (*)$$

with $C_1 = C \sqrt{n \int_G \|g\|^{-d_o} dg}$. We set H_1 equal to the Hilbert space completion of H relative to $\|\dots\|_1$. The above inequality implies that H imbeds continuously into H_1 via the canonical injection ($v \mapsto v$). Noting that $\widehat{V}$ is dense in H_1 we have $(H_1)_K = \widehat{V}$.

We next observe that if $v \in H$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\pi}(x)v\|_1^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_G |(\widehat{\pi}(gx)v, v_i)|^2 \|g\|^{-2d-d_o} dg = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_G |(\widehat{\pi}(g)v, v_i)|^2 \|gx^{-1}\|^{-2d-d_o} dg \leq \|x\|^{2d+d_o} \|v\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

Here we use

$$\|g\| = \|gx^{-1}x\| \leq \|gx^{-1}\| \|x\|$$

so

$$\|gx^{-1}\|^{-2d-d_o} \leq \|g\|^{-2d-d_o} \|x\|^{2d+d_o}.$$

This implies that $\widehat{\pi}(g)$ on H extends to a strongly continuous representation (π_1, H_1) of G . Let $X \in \text{Lie}(G)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^\times$. If v is a C^∞ vector in H relative to $\widehat{\pi}$ then using (*) above we have

$$\left\| \frac{\pi_1(\exp(tX))v - v}{t} - d\widehat{\pi}(X)v \right\|_1 = \left\| \frac{\widehat{\pi}(\exp(tX))v - v}{t} - d\widehat{\pi}(X)v \right\|_1 \leq C_1 \left\| \frac{\widehat{\pi}(\exp(tX))v - v}{t} - d\widehat{\pi}(X)v \right\|.$$

Thus, $d\pi_1(X)v = d\widehat{\pi}(X)v$. So (π_1, H_1) is a Hilbert completion of $\widehat{V}$. Iterating this argument we see that if $Z \subset H$ is the space of C^∞ vectors relative to $\widehat{\pi}$ with the usual Fréchet topology then the imbedding of Z into H_1 maps it continuously into H_1^∞ . On the other hand, we have Z is isomorphic in $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ with $(\widehat{V})$ so Theorem 5 implies that the identity map on $\widehat{V}$ induces a continuous isomorphism of H_1^∞ into Z . This implies that $Z = H_1^\infty$.

If $\gamma \in \widehat{K}$ let E_γ denote the orthogonal projection to the K -isotypic component $H_1(\gamma) = \widehat{V}(\gamma)$ we note that $E_{\gamma|_H}$ is also the orthogonal projection onto $H(\gamma)$. If $\mu \in V^*$ then denote $\mu \circ E_\gamma$ by μ_γ , then there is a unique element $\tau(\mu_\gamma) \in V(\gamma)$ such that

$$\mu_\gamma(v) = (v, \tau(\mu_\gamma)), v \in V.$$

Note that τ is conjugate linear and we will identify μ_γ with $\tau(\mu_\gamma)$ as an element of $\widehat{V}(\gamma)$. Let for $\mu \in V_{\text{mod}}^*$ and $v \in V$, $f_{\mu,v} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ be as in the beginning of this proof. We note that if $\mu \in V(\gamma)^*$ then

$$f_{\mu,v}(g) = (\pi(g)v, \tau(\mu)) = (v, \widehat{\pi}(g)^{-1}\tau(\mu)).$$

We now return to the element λ in the beginning of the proof. We will drop the τ and consider λ_γ to be an element of $\widehat{V}(\gamma)$. We now prove that $\sum \lambda_\gamma$ converges in H_1 . Observe that 2. above implies that

$$\int_G |f_{\lambda,v}(g)|^2 \|g\|^{-2d-d_o} dg < \infty.$$

We note that if χ_γ is the character of γ then

$$f_{\lambda_\gamma,v}(g) = d(\gamma) \int_K \chi_\gamma(k^{-1}) f_{\lambda,v}(kg) dk.$$

Thus, using fact that $\|k_1 g k_2\| = \|g\|$ for all $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $g \in G$, the Schur orthogonality relations combined with the convergence of the K -Fourier series in $L^2(G, \|g\|^{-2d-d_o} dg)$ imply that

$$\int_G |f_{\lambda,v_i}(g)|^2 \|g\|^{-2d-d_o} dg = \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{K}} \int_G |f_{\lambda_\gamma,v_i}(g)|^2 \|g\|^{-2d-d_o} dg.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\infty &> \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{K}} \int_G |f_{\lambda_\gamma, v_i}(g)|^2 \|g\|^{-2d-d_o} dg = \\
&\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{K}} \int_G |(v_i, \widehat{\pi}(g)^{-1} \lambda_\gamma)|^2 \|g\|^{-2d-d_o} dg = \\
&\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{K}} \int_G |(v_i, \widehat{\pi}(g) \lambda_\gamma)|^2 \|g^{-1}\|^{-2d-d_o} dg = \\
&\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{K}} \int_G |(v_i, \widehat{\pi}(g) \lambda_\gamma)|^2 \|g\|^{-2d-d_o} dg = \sum \|\lambda_\gamma\|_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 14. *The topology of H_1^∞ is given by the seminorms*

$$p_l(v) = \|(1 + C_K)^l v\|_1.$$

We will give a proof in the appendix below, there is also a more elementary proof in [RRGII] pp. 91-93. In the appendix we prove that this is also true for any Hilbert representation for which the Casimir operator of G acts by a scalar on the C^∞ -vectors. We now continue with the proof of Theorem 12.

We note that $p_l(v) \leq p_{l+1}(v)$ for all $v \in H_1^\infty$. Since $(\widehat{\pi}, H^\infty) = H_1^\infty, \|\dots\|$ defines a continuous norm on H_1^∞ . This implies that there exists l and $B > 0$ such that

$$\|v\| \leq B \|(1 + C_K)^l v\|_1.$$

Let $\mu(\gamma)I$ be the action of C_K on V_γ . Then the above inequality implies that

$$(1 + \mu(\gamma))^{-l} \|\lambda_\gamma\| \leq B \|\lambda_\gamma\|_1.$$

This implies that

$$\sum (1 + \mu(\gamma))^{-l} \lambda_\gamma$$

converges in H , to an element u . Thus if $v \in V$ then

$$((I + C_K)^l v, u) = \lambda(v).$$

Hence

$$|\lambda(v)| \leq B \|u\| \|(1 + C_K)^l v\|.$$

Thus λ extends to a continuous functional on (π, H^∞) . This completes the proof of Theorem 12.

We now complete the proof of Theorem 10. Let $S : V \rightarrow W$ be a surjective morphism in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ then we have $\widehat{S} : \widehat{W} \rightarrow \widehat{V}$ is an injective

morphism. Let $F = \widehat{V}/\mathfrak{n}^{k_o}\widehat{V}$ as a P module then $\overline{\widehat{V}}$ is the closure of $T_{k_o}(\widehat{V})$ in (π, H^∞) where H is the closure of $T_{k_o}(\widehat{V})$ in the Hilbert representation $\text{Ind}_P^G(F)$. For simplicity we replace $\widehat{V}$ with $T_{k_o}(\widehat{V})$ and $\widehat{W}$ with it's image in $\widehat{V}$. Let H_1 (not the same as the one in the proof above) be the closure of $\widehat{W}$ in H and if π_1 is the corresponding action of G on H_1 then (π_1, H_1^∞) is equivalent with $\overline{\widehat{W}}$. Now $(\widehat{\pi}, H)$ and $(\widehat{\pi}_1, H_1)$ are Hilbert realizations of V and W respectively. The corresponding C^∞ vectors are therefore respectively $\overline{\widehat{V}}$ and $\overline{\widehat{W}}$ by Theorem 12. If $v \in H$ then $\lambda_v(w) = (v, w)$ defines an element of the conjugate dual. The restriction of λ_v , $v \in H$ to H_1 yields a Hilbert representation surjection of $(\widehat{\pi}, H)$ to $(\widehat{\pi}_1, H_1)$ taking C^∞ vectors completes the proof.

4.3.1. *Appendix: A proof of Lemma 14.* Recall that we have fixed a form B on $\text{Lie}(G)$ given by $B(X, Y) = \text{tr}(XY)$. We also note that $\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{tr}(XY^T)$ defines an inner product on $\text{Lie}(G)$. Let C and C_K be the Casimir operators of G and K respectively corresponding to B and we set $\Delta = C - 2C_K$. We observe that $\Delta = \sum X_i^2$ for $X_1, \dots, X_m$ an orthonormal basis of $\text{Lie}(G)$ relative to $\langle \dots, \dots \rangle$. As a left invariant operator on G , Δ is an elliptic and bi-invariant under K . Let (π, H) be a Hilbert representation of G and set $V = (H^\infty)_K$. Let Z be the completion of V relative to the seminorms $q_l(v) = \|\Delta^l v\|$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then since $q_0 = \|\dots\|$, Z can be looked upon as a subspace of H . Also H^∞ is the completion of V using the seminorms $s_x(v) = \|xv\|$ with $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus $Z \supset H^\infty$.

Lemma 15. $Z = H^\infty$. Furthermore, the topology on H^∞ is given by the semi-norms q_l .

Proof. We note that the second assertion is a direct consequence of the closed graph theorem (c.f. [T]) and the first assertion. We will now prove the first assertion. Let $v \in Z \subset H$. We must prove that $v \in H^\infty$. Let $v_j \in V$ be a sequence converging to v in the topology of Z . Let $w \in H$ then for all j

$$\Delta^k(\pi(g)v_j, w) = (\pi(g)\Delta^k v_j, w).$$

Furthermore, since $q_l(\Delta^k v) = q_{l+k}(v)$ and $q_l(v) \leq q_{l+1}(v)$, we see that for fixed k the sequence $\{\Delta^k v_j\}_j$ converges to u_k in Z .

We assert that the function $g \mapsto (\pi(g)v, w)$ is C^∞ . Since $w \in H$ is arbitrary this would imply that the map $g \mapsto \pi(g)v$ is weakly C^∞ . But a weakly C^∞ map of a finite dimensional manifold into a Hilbert space is strongly C^∞ (c.f. [S]). This is exactly the statement that v is a C^∞ vector. We now prove the assertion. We first show that if we look

upon the continuous function $h(g) = (\pi(g)v, w)$ as a distribution on G (using the Haar measure on G) then in the distribution sense

$$\Delta^k h(g) = (\pi(g)u_k, w).$$

Indeed, let $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G h(g) \Delta^k f(g) dg &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_G (\pi(g)v_j, w) \Delta^k f(g) dg = \\ \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_G \Delta^k (\pi(g)v_j, w) f(g) dg &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_G (\pi(g) \Delta^k v_j, w) f(g) dg = \\ &= \int_G (\pi(g)u_k, w) f(g) dg \end{aligned}$$

as asserted. Since Δ is elliptic, local Sobolev theory (c.f. [F, Chapter 6]) implies that $h \in C^\infty(G)$. $\square$

We will now assume that $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ and give a proof of Lemma 14. We note that

$$\Delta^k = \sum_{j=0}^k (-2)^j \binom{k}{j} C^{k-j} C_K^j.$$

If $v \in \widehat{V}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Cv\|_1^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_G |(v_i, \widehat{\pi}(g) d\widehat{\pi}(C)v)|^2 \|g\|^{-2d-d_o} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_G |(d\pi(C)v_i, \widehat{\pi}(g)v)|^2 \|g\|^{-2d-d_o}. \end{aligned}$$

Now $d\pi(C)v_i = \sum a_{ji} v_j$ hence $(d\pi(C)v_i, \widehat{\pi}(g)v) = \sum a_{ji} (v_j, \widehat{\pi}(g)v)$. Hence setting $A = \max_{ij} \{|a_{ij}|\}$

$$|(d\pi(C)v_i, \widehat{\pi}(g)v)| = \left| \sum_j a_{ji} (v_j, \widehat{\pi}(g)v) \right| \leq A \sum_j |(v_j, \widehat{\pi}(g)v)|$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i |(d\pi(C)v_i, \widehat{\pi}(g)v)|^2 &\leq nA^2 \left(\sum_j |(v_j, \widehat{\pi}(g)v)| \right)^2 \leq \\ &= n^2 A^2 \sum_i |(v_i, \widehat{\pi}(g)v)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|Cv\|_1 \leq nA \|v\|_1.$$

Set $B = nA$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta^k v\|_1 &= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^k (-2)^j \binom{k}{j} C^{k-j} C_K^j v \right\|_1 \leq \\ &\sum_{j=0}^k (2)^j \binom{k}{j} B^{k-j} \|C_K^j v\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=0}^k (2)^j \binom{k}{j} B^{k-j} \|(1 + C_K)^j v\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 14.

We conclude this appendix with a result about general Hilbert representations.

Proposition 16. *If (π, H) is a Hilbert representation of G such that C acts as the scalar c on H^∞ then topology of H^∞ is given by the semi-norms $p_l(v) = \|(I + C_K)^l v\|$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$*

Proof. Notice that in the proof of Lemma 15 the assumption of admissibility is never used. Assume that C acts by c on H^∞ . So if $v \in H^\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta^k v\| &= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^k (-2)^j \binom{k}{j} C^{k-j} C_K^j v \right\| \leq \\ &\sum_{j=0}^k (2)^j \binom{k}{j} |c|^{k-j} \|C_K^j v\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^k (2)^j \binom{k}{j} |c|^{k-j} \|(1 + C_K)^j v\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

We leave it to the reader to prove the analogous result with C satisfying $p(C) = 0$ on H^∞ , $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$.

4.4. Step 3: All objects in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ are good. We first give some functorial properties of goodness (see for the proofs [RRGII,11.7.2], [C,7.16]) Casselman uses the term regular for our good and uses a single bar for our double bar completion and vice-versa). Recall that good means $\overline{V}$ and $\overline{\overline{V}}$ are isomorphic as elements of $\mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$.

Lemma 17. *Let $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$*

1. *If V is good then $\widehat{V}$ is good*
2. *If V is good and if W is a summand of V then W is good.*
3. *If $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ and if every irreducible subquotient of V is good then V is good.*

We also have (see [RRGII,11.7.3])

Lemma 18. *Let $Q = L_Q N_Q$ be a standard parabolic subgroup of G (i.e. $Q \supset P$) with $L_Q = Q \cap \theta(Q)$ and N_Q the unipotent radical of Q . Then if W is a good object in $\mathcal{H}(\text{Lie}(L_Q), K \cap L_Q)$ and N_Q acts locally finitely then the corresponding induced $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module is good.*

This combined with the proof that square integrable representations are good ([RRGII, 11.7.4]) implies that tempered representations are good,

Finally we defer to Casselman's much cleaner version of the end game ([C, Section 9]), which involves the Langlands quotient theorem and a deformation argument due to him (you can also see a repetition of the argument in [RRGII] in [BK]). So

Theorem 19. *If $V \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ then V is good.*

5. ADDING PARAMETERS

5.1. Some results of van der Noort. Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$ then following [vdN] we will define a holomorphic family of objects in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ in terms of the two conditions below. Before we can give the second condition we will need to give a consequence of the first. Let V be a $(\text{Lie}(K) \otimes \mathbb{C}, K)$ -module and let $\pi : \Omega \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ be such that

1. For each $z \in \Omega$ the operators $\pi(z, X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ define a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ compatible with the K -action.

Thus we have a map $\pi : \Omega \times U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$.

Lemma 20. *If $W \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a finite dimensional subspace and $v \in V$ then $\dim \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \pi(\Omega, W)v < \infty$.*

Proof. We may assume that W is invariant under $\text{Ad}(K)$. Let $Z = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} K v$ then $\dim Z < \infty$. Let $W \otimes Z = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in S} (W \otimes Z)(\gamma)$ be its K -isotypic decomposition. Here S is the subset of $\widehat{K}$ consisting of those γ such that $(W \otimes Z)(\gamma) \neq \{0\}$. We note that S is finite. Then 1. implies that

$$\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \pi(\Omega, W)v \subset \bigoplus_{\gamma \in S} V(\gamma)$$

which is finite dimensional. □

The second condition (holomorphy) is

2. For each $W \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$, $L \subset V$ respectively finite dimensional subspaces the map $\Omega \times W \times L \rightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \pi(\Omega, W)L$ given by $(z, w, u) \mapsto \pi(z, w)u$ is holomorphic.

A triple (Ω, π, V) will be called a holomorphic family of objects in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ if Ω is open in $\mathbb{C}^n$ for some n , V is an admissible $(\text{Lie}(K) \otimes \mathbb{C}, K)$ -module and π satisfies 1. and 2.

Example 21. *We first note that we can define the same concept for a standard parabolic subgroup Q of G and $Q \cap K$. Let (Ω, σ, W) be holomorphic family of $\mathcal{H}(\text{Lie}(Q) \otimes \mathbb{C}, K \cap Q)$ -modules. We form the K -finite induced representation $V = \text{Ind}_{M \cap Q}^K(W)_K^\infty$ and let $\pi(z, X)$ be the action on $\text{Ind}_Q^G(\sigma(z, \cdot))_K^\infty$. Then (Ω, π, V) will be called a parabolically induced holomorphic family. This includes the parabolically induced representations $V_{Q, \sigma, \nu}$ with σ admissible for M_Q and $\Omega = \text{Lie}(A_Q)_\mathbb{C}^*$.*

We will now state one of the main results in [vdN] which is a special case of his Theorem 3.2.11.

Theorem 22. *Let (π, V, Ω) be a holomorphic family of objects in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ then for each $z_o \in \Omega$ there exists $U \subset \Omega$ an open neighborhood of z_o and $W \subset V$ a finite dimensional subspace of V such that $\pi(z, U(\mathfrak{g}))W = V$ for all $z \in U$.*

Fix a Cartan subalgebra, $\mathfrak{h}$, of $\mathfrak{g}$. Denote by $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. We use the Harish–Chandra parametrization of homomorphisms of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ to $\mathbb{C}$. That is, $\chi = \chi_\Lambda$, with $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ (determined up to the action of the Weyl group). As part of his proof of the theorem above he proves (Proposition 3.2.5 in [vdN])

Theorem 23. *If X is a compact subset of Ω then the set of Harish–Chandra parameters of the generalized infinitesimal characters of the $\pi(z, \cdot)$, $z \in X$ is contained in a compact subset of $\mathfrak{h}^*$.*

5.2. Families of Hilbert representations. We now define a holomorphic family of admissible Hilbert representations of G to be a triple (Ω, π, H) with Ω an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$ invariant under complex conjugation, (τ, H) a unitary representation of K such that the K -finite vectors form an admissible $(\text{Lie}(K) \otimes \mathbb{C}, K)$ -module and π a map from $\Omega \times G$ to the bounded invertible operators on H satisfying:

1. π is a strongly continuous map of $\Omega \times G$ to the bounded operators on H such that $g \mapsto \pi(z, g)$ defines an admissible finitely generated representation of G for each $z \in \Omega$.
2. If $(\dots, \dots)$ is the inner product on H then the map $z \mapsto (\pi(z, g)v, w)$ is holomorphic for all $g \in G, v, w \in H$.

We define the conjugate dual family to be $\widehat{\pi}(z, g) = \pi(z, g^{-1})^*$ we note that this family is antiholomorphic (i.e. $\widehat{\pi}(\bar{z}, g)$ defines a holomorphic family).

Let C be the Casimir operator of G chosen as in section 2, For simplicity, we will assume that on the K -finite vectors of H , $d\pi(z, C)$ acts by a scalar (depending on z). Thus if V is the space of K -finite vectors then the space of C^∞ vectors for $\pi(z, \cdot)$ is equal to the completion of V relative to the seminorms $p_l(v) = \|(I + C_K)^l v\|$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$ (see Proposition 16).

Example. Let Q be a standard parabolic subgroup of G . We can define a holomorphic family of Hilbert representations of the pair $(Q, Q \cap K)$ exactly as above. Let (Ω, σ, W) be a holomorphic family of Hilbert representations. Thus $\sigma(z, \cdot)|_{K \cap Q}$ is independent of z that defines a unitary representation of $K \cap Q$ and the continuity and holomorphic conditions are satisfied. Then $\text{Ind}_Q^G(\sigma(z, \cdot))$ defines a holomorphic family of Hilbert representations of G . This includes the parabolically induced representations $I_{Q, \sigma, \nu}$ with σ an admissible representation of M_Q on which the Casimir operator of M_Q corresponding to B (as above) acts by a scalar.

Let (Ω, π, H) be a holomorphic family of Hilbert representations of G and let $d\pi(z, x)$ denote the corresponding action of $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ on H^∞ and on V .

Proposition 24. 1. $(\Omega, d\pi, V)$ is a holomorphic family of objects in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$.

2. If $\lambda \in (H^\infty)'$, $v \in H^\infty$ then the map $z, g \mapsto \lambda(\pi(z, g)v)$ is C^∞ and holomorphic in Ω .

Proof. We leave the first assertion to the reader. The second assertion follows from the fact that there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $u \in H$ such that $\lambda(v) = ((I + C_K)^l v, u)$ for $v \in H^\infty$. $\square$

We will say that a holomorphic family of Hilbert representations, (Ω, π, H) is locally of uniform moderate growth if for each $z_o \in \Omega$ there exist d_{z_o} , C_{z_o} and $U_{z_o} \subset \Omega$ an open neighborhood of z_o such that $\|\pi(z, g)\| \leq C_{z_o} \|g\|^{d_{z_o}}$ for $z \in U_{z_o}$, $g \in G$. One can check that a parabolically induced family satisfies this condition.

5.3. Theorem 2 with parameters. The CW theorem can be restated in the following form: If $V \in \mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ then V is isomorphic with $\overline{(V_K)}$ which is isomorphic with $\overline{(V_K)}$. The theorem therefore says

Theorem 25. Let $V \in \mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ then $\overline{V}'_{|V_K} = (V_K)^*_{\text{mod}}$ (see subsection 4.3).

Let (Ω, σ, V) be a holomorphic family of objects in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$. We define $V_z \in \mathcal{HF}_{\text{mod}}(G)$ to be $(\sigma(z, \cdot), V)$ for $z \in \Omega$. If $\lambda_z \in (V_z)^*_{\text{mod}}$

for each $z \in \Omega$ then $z \mapsto \lambda_z$ will be called holomorphic if the correspondence $z \mapsto \lambda_z(v)$ is holomorphic for all $v \in V$. We will say that this family is locally of uniform moderate growth if for each $v \in V$ and $z_o \in \Omega$ there is $U_{z_o} \subset \Omega$ an open neighborhood of z_o and $C_{U_{z_o},v}$ and $d_{U_{z_o},v}$ such that (in the notations of subsection 4.3)

$$|f_{\lambda_z,v}(g)| \leq C_{U_{z_o},v} \|g\|^{d_{U_{z_o},v}}, z \in U.$$

Our main result is

Theorem 26. *Assume that (Ω, σ, V) is a Holomorphic family of objects in $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ such that there is a holomorphic family of admissible Hilbert representations (Ω, π, H) of local uniform moderate growth such that $\sigma = d\pi$ and $V = H|_K$. If $z \mapsto \lambda_z \in (V_z)^*_{\text{mod}}$ is a holomorphic on Ω of local uniform moderate growth on Ω and if we (also) denote the extension of λ_z to H^∞ by λ_z then $z \mapsto \lambda_z$ is weakly holomorphic from Ω to $(H^\infty)'$.*

Proof. We will follow the proof of Theorem 12 which can be found in subsection 4.3. We will use notation from that subsection. Let $z_o \in \Omega$ and let $U \subset \Omega$ be an open neighborhood of z_o such that there exists d and $C > 0$ so that the following 3 conditions are satisfied for all $z \in U$

1. $\|\hat{\pi}(z, g)\| \leq C \|g\|^d$ for some $C > 0$ and
2. $v_1, \dots, v_n$ an orthonormal basis of a finite sum of K -isotypic components $W \subset V$ such that $V = d\pi(z, U(\mathfrak{g}))W$ for $z \in U$.
3. $|f_{\lambda_z, v_i}(g)| \leq C \|g\|^d$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

We note that 2. is possible by Theorem 22 above. 3. is a consequence of the local uniformity.

If $z \in U, v, w \in H$ we define (d_o is as in section 4.3)

$$\langle v, w \rangle_z = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_G (v_i, \hat{\pi}(z, g)v) \overline{(v_i, \hat{\pi}(z, g)w)} \|g\|^{-2d-d_o} dg.$$

We observe that if we argue as in Theorem 12 and $\|v\|_z$ is the corresponding norm we have for all $z \in U, v \in H$

$$\|v\|_z \leq C_1 \|v\| \quad (*)$$

with $C_1 = C \sqrt{n \int_G \|g\|^{-d_o} dg}$. The inequality $(*)$ implies that $(H_z^\infty)_K$ is isomorphic with $\hat{V}_z$. As in the proof of the theorem without parameters if $\lambda_{z,\gamma} = \lambda_z \circ E_\gamma$ then we have

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \hat{K}} \|\lambda_{z,\gamma}\|_z^2 \leq C_2 < \infty \quad (**)$$

with $C_2 = nC^2 \int_G \|g\|^{-d_0} dg$. Thus λ_z defines an element of H_z . We now note that $\langle v, w \rangle_z = (A_z v, w)$ for $v, w \in H$ and A_z is a bounded self adjoint positive operator on H . We also note that $z \mapsto A_z$ is real analytic in the strong operator topology (this is because weak analyticity is the same as strong analyticity). Here we use

$$\langle v, w \rangle_z = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_G (\pi(z, g)v_i, v) \overline{(\pi(z, g)v_i, w)} \|g\|^{-2d-d_0} dg.$$

Also, since the topology of $(\widehat{\pi(z)}, H_z^\infty)$ is given by the seminorms $v \mapsto \|(I + C_K)^l v\|_z = \|(I + C_K)^l A_z^{\frac{1}{2}} v\|$ or by the semi-norms $v \mapsto \|(I + C_K)^l v\|$ this implies that $B_z = A_z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ defines an isomorphism of H_z^∞ . The upshot is

$$\sum B_z \lambda_{z, \gamma} = u_z \in H.$$

The map $z \mapsto u_z$ is real analytic. We therefore have $\lambda_z = B_z^{-1} u_z$ so it is real analytic from U into $(H^\infty)'$. Since $z \mapsto \lambda_z(v)$ is holomorphic for v in the dense set V we see that $z \mapsto \lambda_z$ is weakly holomorphic from U into $(H^\infty)'$. $\square$

5.3.1. Holomorphic families of automorphic forms and Eisenstein series. We assume that G has compact center and we consider the example of automorphic forms in subsection 3.1. Let Γ be subgroup of G of finite covolume and let Ω be open in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Let $f : \Omega \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be real analytic such that $f(z, g)$, is holomorphic in z and automorphic on G relative to Γ then the theory of the constant term implies the local uniformity of the previous theorem.

We note that the next result will use the work in Langlands [L] so all one needs is to assume that G and Γ satisfy the hypotheses in the first chapter of [L]. This material is difficult and (amazingly) the fact that his properties are actually satisfied is not proved until the end of his (the notorious) Chapter 7. We will therefore work in the simpler context of arithmetic groups. That is we assume that G is the set of real points of an algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and Γ is arithmetic with respect to this $\mathbb{Q}$ -structure. Let Q be the real points of a parabolic subgroup of G defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. We choose K so that Q is K -standard. Before we can state the application to Eisenstein series we need some notation. Let U be the unipotent radical of Q and let $Q = LA_Q U$ be a standard $\mathbb{Q}$ -Langlands decomposition of Q (i.e. A_Q is the set of real points of a $\mathbb{Q}$ -split torus that projects onto the real points of a maximal $\mathbb{Q}$ -split torus of Q/U). We identify L with $Q/A_Q U$. Set

$\Gamma_L = (\Gamma \cap Q) / (\Gamma \cap (A_Q U))$ and define $I(\Gamma_L)$ to be the space of all $f \in C^\infty(\Gamma_L \backslash L \times K)$ such that

1. If $x \in L, u \in K \cap L, k \in K$ then $f(xu, u^{-1}k) = f(x, k)$.
2. With $Z(\text{Lie}(L))$ (the center of $U(\text{Lie}(L))$) acting on the first factor we have $\dim Z(\text{Lie}(L))f < \infty$.
3. With $U(\text{Lie}(L))$ acting on the first factor and $U(\text{Lie}(K))$ acting on the second here exist $C_{f,x,y}$ and d_f such that

$$|xyf(u, k)| \leq C_{f,x,y} |u|^{d_f}, x \in U(\text{Lie}(L)), y \in U(\text{Lie}(K)), k \in K, u \in L.$$

4. For each $k \in K$ the map $x \mapsto f(x, k)$ is a Γ_L -cusp form. That is, if P is the group of real points of parabolic subgroup of L defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with unipotent radical U_P then

$$\int_{U_P \cap \Gamma_L \backslash U_P} f(ux, k) du = 0$$

for all $x \in L$ and $k \in K$.

Let $\mu : Z(\text{Lie}(L)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a homomorphism and let $I_\mu(\Gamma_L)$ be the subspace of $I(\Gamma_L)$ consisting of elements h such that $uh = \mu(u)h$. We define an inner product on $I_\mu(\Gamma_L)$:

$$(h_1, h_2) = \int_{\Gamma_L \backslash L \times K} h_1(u, k) \overline{h_2(u, k)} du dk.$$

We have an admissible unitary representation of K on the Hilbert space completion $H_\mu(\Gamma_L)$ of $I_\mu(\Gamma_L)$ by right translation in the second variable. For $\nu \in \text{Lie}(A_Q)^*_\mathbb{C}$ and $f \in I_\mu(\Gamma_L)$ we define $\tilde{f}_\nu(uaxk) = a^{\nu+\rho_Q} f(x, k)$ for $u \in U, a \in A_Q, x \in L$ and $k \in K$. Then $\tilde{f}_\nu \in C^\infty(G)$. We define $\pi(\nu, x)f(u, k) = R(x)\tilde{f}_\nu(uk)$ (here $R(x)\phi(g) = \phi(gx)$). This defines a holomorphic family of Hilbert representations on $H_{\mu,\nu}(\Gamma_L)$ of local (in ν) uniform moderate growth.

We note that $(A_Q U \cap \Gamma) / (U \cap \Gamma)$ is finite. If $f \in I_\mu(\Gamma_L)$ we define

$$f_\nu(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in (A_Q U \cap \Gamma) / (U \cap \Gamma)} \tilde{f}_\nu(\gamma g).$$

Finally, the corresponding Eisenstein series is

$$E(Q, f, \nu)(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap U \backslash \Gamma} f_\nu(\gamma g).$$

Langlands has proven a meromorphic continuation of K -finite Eisenstein series ([L]) (that is f is right K -finite in the K variable). We can think of these series as giving a meromorphic family, λ_ν , of elements of $((\pi(\nu), H_\mu(\Gamma_L))_K)^*_{\text{mod}}$. For each such and each $\nu_o \in \text{Lie}(A_Q)^*_\mathbb{C}$

there exists an open neighborhood of ν_o , Ω_1 , and a holomorphic function, h on Ω_1 such that $h(\nu)E(Q, f, \nu)$ is holomorphic on Ω_1 . Let $\nu_o \in \Omega \subset \overline{\Omega} \subset \Omega_1$ with Ω open with compact closure. Then on Ω the family is of local moderate growth. So Theorem 26 implies

Theorem 27. *If $f \in I(\Gamma_L)$ then the Eisenstein series $E(Q, f, \nu)$ initially defined and holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re} \nu(\check{\alpha}) > C\rho_Q(\check{\alpha})$ for some C depending on f and Q and all coroots of roots appearing in the unipotent radical of Q has a meromorphic continuation to $(\operatorname{Lie}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C})^*$.*

References

- [BK] J. Bernstein and B. Krötz, Smooth Fréchet globalizations of Harish-Chandra modules, to appear
- [BW] A. Borel and N. Wallach, Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups and representations of reductive groups, Second Edition, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Volume 67, AMS, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [C] W. Casselman, Canonical extensions of Harish-Chandra modules to representations of G , Canadian J. Math. 41(1989), 286-320.
- [F] Gerald B. Folland, Introduction to partial differential equations, second edition, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995.
- [K] B. Kostant, On the existence and irreducibility of certain series of representations, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. , 75(1969), 627-642.
- [L] Robert P. Langlands, On the functional equations satisfied by Eisenstein series, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 544, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [Le] Seung Lee, Representations with small K -types, to appear.
- [OS] T. Oshima and J. Sekiguchi, Eigenspaces of invariant differential operators on an affine symmetric space, Inventiones Math., 57(1980).
- [Sc] G. Schiffman, Integrals d'interlacement et fonctions de Whittaker, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 99(1971), 3-27.
- [S] Laurant Schwartz, Espaces de fonctions différentielles a valeurs vectorielles, J. Analyse Math. (1954/55), 78-148.
- [T] François Trèves, Topological vector spaces distributions and kernels, Academic Press, New York, 1967.
- [VW] D. A. Vogan and N.R. Wallach, Intertwining operators for real reductive groups, Ad. in Math., 82(1990), 203-243.

[vdN] Vincent van der Noort, Analytic parameter dependence of Harish-Chandra modules for real reductive groups, A family affair, Thesis University of Utrecht, 2009.

[RRGI,II] Nolan R. Wallach, Real reductive groups,I,II, Academic Press, San Diego, 1988,1992.

[W] Nolan R. Wallach, Basic geometric invariant theory, to appear.